Appl. No. 10/796,455 Amdt. dated October 12, 2005 Reply to Office Action of September 23, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 11-15 were examined, with claims 1-10 having been withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement. All non-elected claims have cancelled. Reexamination and reconsideration of the claims, in view of the following remarks, are respectfully requested.

The drawings have been objected to as failing to show the pumping element 16 mentioned in the specification. Rather than providing corrected drawings, applicants have amended the specification to substitute reference to the "impeller 24" which is shown in Fig. 3, rather than to the pumping element. It is believed that this amendment specification overcomes the objection to the drawings.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for Double Patenting. It is noted that the present application is a divisional of the parent where claims 1-15 were restricted from an original group of claims in that amended. As the parent claims were subject to a restriction requirement. They cannot now be subjected to Double Patenting over the parent patent.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all rejections and objections set forth in the office action have been overcome. It is therefore requested that remaining claims 11-15 be allowed and that the application be passed to issue at an early date.

For any reason the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would in any way expedite prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (650) 326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynn M. Thompson, Reg. No. 47,991 for James M. Heslin, Reg. No. 29,541

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300

Attachments JMH:jke 60608043 v1